

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed August 10, 2005 has been carefully considered. Within the Office Action Claims 20-22 have been rejected; Claim 23 is objected to; and Claims 1-10 have been allowed. The Applicant has amended Claim 20. The Applicant has also provided formal drawings. Reconsideration in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Amendments to Specification

The Applicant has amended the present specification to correct minor typographical and grammatical errors. The Applicant submits that the amendments do not add new matter and are already supported in the specification.

Rejection under U.S.C. § 102

Claims 20-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,191,174 to Chang et al. (hereinafter “Chang”). The Applicant respectfully traverses.

According to the M.P.E.P., a claim is anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a), (b) and (e) only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference.

Claim 20 has been amended to clarify that the means for coupling the PCB interface to a main board protrudes from the PCB interface. This is not taught in Chang, and Claim 20 is thus not anticipated by Chang. For at least these reasons, Claim 20 is distinguishable over Chang and is in a condition for allowance.

Claims 21-22 have also been rejected in light of Chang. However, Claims 21-22 are dependent on Independent Claim 20. As stated above, Claim 20 is allowable over Chang. Accordingly, Claims 21-22 are allowable for being dependent on an allowable base claim.

Conclusion

It is believed that this Response places the above-identified patent application into condition for allowance. Early favorable consideration of this application is earnestly solicited. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, an interview would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the number indicated below.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Please charge any additional required fee or credit any overpayment not otherwise paid or credited to our deposit account No. 50-1698.

Respectfully submitted,

THELEN REID & PRIEST, LLP

Dated: 11/10/05



Suvashis Bhattacharya
Reg. No. 46,554

Thelen Reid & Priest LLP
P.O. Box 640640
San Jose, CA 95164-0640
Tel. (408) 292-5800
Fax. (408) 287-8040